

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/722,290	HAHN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert T. Crow	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Robert T. Crow. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) James Schumann. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 March 2007 **Time:** 3:00

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1-14 and 18-27*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 <i>Robert Shumard, Ph.D.</i> <i>SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER</i>	
<i>(Examiner/SPE Signature)</i>	<i>(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</i>